



INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

CASEBOOK

VOLUME 2

FALL 1988

Professor R.J. Coombe

LAW LIBRARY

SEP 27 1988

FACULTY OF LAW
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO


INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

CASEBOOK

VOLUME 2

FALL 1988

Professor R.J. Coombe



Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2018 with funding from
University of Toronto

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY
FALL 1988
TABLE OF CONTENTS

R.J. Coombe

I INTRODUCTION

Volume 1

	Page
II COPYRIGHT	1
A. <u>History and Policy Considerations</u>	1
Kaplan, An unhurried view of copyright (1967)	1
White-Smith Music Co. v. Apollo Co. (1907)	8
"A Charter of Rights for Creators" A report of the House of Commons Standing Committee on Communications and Culture's Subcommittee on the Revision of Copyright (1985)	9
Ladbroke (Football) Ltd. v. Wm. Hill (Football) Ltd. [1964]	10
Breyer "The Uneasy Case for Copyright" (1970)	10
Report on Intellectual and Industrial Property Economic Council of Canada, 1971	13
Jeanne Thomas Allen "Copyright and Early Theater, Vaudeville, and Film Competition" In <u>Film Before Griffith</u> edited by John Fell, (California, Los Angeles, 1983)	17
Fidel Castro "Communism will be Abundance Without Egoism: On Intellectual Property", 1967. In <u>Communication and Class Struggle</u> Volume 2 Liberation, Socialism (New York, 1983)	23
Poster for a Festival of Plagiarism, San Francisco, February, 1988 ..	27
B. <u>Authorship and Ownership</u>	29
Who is protected? Bill C-60 s. 4-12 and Commentary	29
Donahue v. Allied [1983]	35
University of London Press Ltd. v. University Tutorial Press Ltd. [1916]	41
Jorge Luis Borjes "Pierre Menard: Author of the <u>Quixote</u> " in <u>Labyrinths</u> (New York: New Directions, 1962)	43
Walter Benjamin "Author as Producer" In <u>The Essential Frankfurt School</u> Reader edited by Andrew Arato (1978)	48
Michael Foucault "What is an Author?" In <u>Foucault Reader</u> edited by Paul Rabinow (Pantheon, 1984)	57
Janet Wolff "The Death of the Author" in <u>The Social Production of Art</u> (NY: NYU, 1984)	69
Roland Barthes "The Death of the Author" in <u>Image/Music/Text</u> trans. Stephen Heath (New York: Hill and Wang, 1977)	80

C.	<u>Ideas and Expressions, Originality and Fixation</u>	83
1.	Bill C-60 s. 1-2 and commentary	83
2.	<u>Case Law</u>	86
	University of London Press Ltd. v. University Tutorial Press Ltd. [1916]	86
	Ladbroke Ltd. v. Wm. Hill Ltd. [1964]	87
	Victoria Park Racing Co. v. Taylor (1937)	87
	Martin v. Polyplas Manufacturers Ltd. [1969]	87
	Cuisenaire v South West Imports Ltd. [1968]	89
	B.C. Jockey Club v Standen (1985)	90
i)	Compilations	93
	Ladbroke (Football) Ltd. v Wm Hill (Football) Ltd. [1964]	93
	Express Newspapers Plc. v. Liverpool Daily Post Plc. [1985] ...	96
	Elanco Products Units v. Mandops [1979]	99
ii)	Titles	104
	Francis Day & Hunter Ltd. v. Twentieth Century Fox Corp. Ltd. [1940]	104
	Exxon v Exxon Insurance Consultants [1982]	107
iii)	Fixation	112
	Canadian Admiral Corp. Ltd. v Rediffusion Inc. [1954]	112
iv)	Originality	124
	Hal Foster "The Expressive Falacy" In <u>Recodings: Art, Spectacle, Cultural Politics</u> pp. 59-71; 217-8 (Bay Press, 1985)	124
D.	<u>Selected Subject Matters</u>	134
1.	Comment: Subject matter	134
2.	Computer Programs	139
	Comment: White Paper on Computer Programs	139
	Apple Computer v MacIntosh Computers Ltd. (1987)	144
3.	Musical Works	157
i)	Comment	157
ii)	Cases	157
	Canadian Performing Right Society v. Ford Hotel [1935]	157
	Ludlow Music Inc. v. Canint Music Corp. [1967]	161
	Nancy Sinatra v Goodyear Tire & Rubber (1970)	168
iii)	Substantial Part	173
	Hawkes v Paramount (1934)	173
iv)	Making Music: Where does Creativity lie?	180
	Dick Hebdige "Rap and Hip Hop: The New York Connection" In <u>Cut'n'Mix: Culture, Identity and Caribbean Music</u> pp. 136- 148 (Methuen 1987)	180
	Iain Chambers "Moving on up: Black music and into the '80's" In <u>Popular Culture: The Metropolitan Experience</u> pp 164- 180 (Methuen, 1986)	188
	Jon Pareles "Digital Technology Changing Music" New York Times, October 16, 1986, pg. C-23	196

E.	<u>Acts of Infringement and Exemptions</u>	197
	Bill C-60 s. 17 and commentary	197
1.	Infringement	206
	Francis Day & Hunter Ltd. v Bron [1963]	212
	King Features Syndicate Inc. v. O & M Kleeman Ltd. [1941]	212
	Deeks v Wells (1930); [1930]; [1933]	215
	Bishop v Tele-Metropole (1985)	218
	A & M Records v Millbank (1985)	229
2.	Fair Use or Fair Dealing	233
	Hubbard v Vosper [1972]	233
	Lion Laboratory Ltd. v. Evans [1985]	237
	The Queen v James Lorimer & Co. Ltd. (1984)	241
	Sony v Universal City Studios (1984)	247
F.	<u>Artist's Moral Rights</u>	253
	"Artists Don't Deserve Special Rights" (1988) Wall Street Journal..	253
	Bill C-60 and Comment	254
	Snow v The Eaton Centre Ltd. (1982)	256
	John Maryon Intl Ltd. v New Brunswick Telephone Co. (1983)	257
	Molotsky "Colored Movies Ruled Eligible for Copyright" (1987) N.Y. Times	259
	Oman "Black and White and Red All Over" (1987) N.Y. Times	260
	Allen "The Colorization of Film Insults Artists and Society" (1987) N.Y. Times	262
G.	<u>Collective Rights to Cultural Heritage</u>	263
	"Thais Accuse U.S. of Theft of Temple Art" (1988) N.Y. Times	263
	Bennetts "'Colouring' Film Classics: A Boon or A Bane?", "Debate Goes On Over Colorization" N.Y. Times, Aug. 5/86 April 17/87	264
	"Share the Blame: The Spirit Sings" (1988) <u>Vanguard</u>	266
H.	<u>Remedies</u>	271
	Nintendo of America v. Coinex Video Games Inc.	271
	IBM Corp. v. Ordinateurs Spirales Inc.	276
	Gribble v. Manitoba Free Press Ltd.	279
	Pro Arts, Inc. v. Campus Crafts Holdings Ltd.	280
	Sheldon v. Metro-Goldwyn Pictures Corp.	289
III	<u>INDUSTRIAL DESIGN</u>	296
	Comment	296
	Doral Boats Ltd. v. Bayliner Marine Corp. (1986)	298
	Spiro-Flex Industries Ltd. v. Progressive Sealing Inc. (1986)	303
	King Features Syndicate Inc. v. O.M. Kleeman Ltd. [1941]	312
	Hayhurst (1979) 11 Ottawa L. Rev. 391, 469-80	318
	Rucker Co. v. Gavel's Vulcanizing Ltd. (1986)	326

Volume 2

IV	TRADEMARKS	329
A.	<u>The Law and Its Policy Bases</u>	329
	Schechter (1927) "The Rational Basis of Trademark Protection" 40 Harv. L.R. 813, 814-21	329
	Standard Brands Inc. v. Smidler (1945)	332
	Roberts, J.R. "Functions of a Trademark"	337
	Cohen, (1935) "Transcendental Nonsense and the Functionalist Approach"	339
B.	<u>Common Law</u>	364
	i) Establishing Goodwill	364
	Blades Enterprises v Thibault	364
	Erven Warnick Besloten Vennootschap v J. Townend & Sons [1979]	366
	ii) Distinctive Character	372
	Cadbury Schweppes Pty Ltd. v. Pub. Squash Co. Pty. Ltd. [1981]	372
	iii) Misrepresentation	378
	Consumers Distributing Co. v Seiko Time Canada Ltd. (1984) ...	378
	iv) Territorial Extent of Reputation	390
	Orkin Exterminating Co. Inc. v Pestco of Canada Ltd. (1985) ..	390
	v) Unfair Competition	403
	INS v Associated Press 248 U.S. 215 (1918)	403
	Moorgate Tobacco Co. Ltd. v Philip Morris Ltd. (1984)	415
	vi) Misappropriation	418
	Board of Trade of the City of Chicago v Dow Jones & Company (1983)	418
	vii) Damages	425
	R.C.A. Corp. v. Pollard (1983)	425
	Ratcliffe v Evans [1892]	429
	S & S. Industries v Rowell [1966]	430
C.	<u>Statutory Trademarks</u>	436
1.	<u>Section 2 of the Trademark Act</u>	436
	i) Commentary on distinctiveness, certification marks, distinguishing guises, proposed trademarks, trade names	436
	ii) Distinctiveness	439
	Great Lakes Hotels Ltd. v. The Noshery Ltd. (1968)	439
	E & J Gallo Winery v Andres Wines Ltd. (1976)	442
	Valle's Steak House v. Tessier (1980)	447
	"Battle of the Soaps" Toronto Star, 1986	450
	S.C. Johnson and Son Ltd. v Marketing International Ltd. (1980)	451
	iii) Trade names	453
	C.B.C.A./Regs	453

	O.B.C.A./Regs	462
	Re: Federation of Women's Teachers Association (1984)	462
2.	<u>Trademark Registration</u>	468
	Commentary	468
3.	<u>Characteristics of a Registrable Trade Mark</u>	469
	i) Section 12 (1)	469
	a) Primarily name or surname	469
	Comment	469
	Deputy A.G. v McDonald's Corp. [1976]	470
	Hurlbut Co. v Hurlbut Shoe Co. (1925)	472
	Elder's Beverages (1975) Ltd. v. Registrar of Trademarks (1979)	476
	b) Clearly descriptive or deceptively misdescriptive	479
	S.C. Johnson and Son Ltd. v. Marketing International Ltd. [1980]	479
	Molson Companies Ltd. v. John Labatt Ltd. (1984)	483
	Great Lake Hotels v Noshery Ltd. (1968)	488
	c) Name in any language	492
	Comment	492
	d) Marks which become generic	492
	Aladdin Industries, Inc. v. Canadian Thermos Products Ltd. et. al (1969)	492
	Xerox ad	504
	e) Mere functionality	505
	W. Edge & Sons Ltd. v. W. Niccols & Sons Ltd. (1911)	505
	Parke, Davis & Co. v. Empire Labs Ltd. (1964)	510
	Smith Kline & French v Sterling-Winthrop [1975]	513
	Notes	515
	ii) Section 12 (2): Secondary Meaning	???
4.	<u>Confusing Trademarks</u>	517
	i) Comment	517
	ii) Resemblance in Ideas Suggested	518
	Rowntree v. Paulin Chambers Co. [1968]	518
	Benson & Hedges v St. Regis Tobacco [1969]	521
	Conde Nast	524
5.	<u>Prohibited Trademarks</u>	527
	i) Section 9, 10	527
	Comment from Hayhurst (1987) "Recent Developments in Canadian Law: Intellectual Property ..." 19 Ottawa L.R. 581, 629-30	527
6.	<u>Offences</u>	529
	i) Section 7 Infringements	529
	Comment	529
	Clairol International Corp. v. Thomas Supply & Equip. Co. Ltd. (1968)	533
	Universal City Studios Inc. v 309848 Ontario Ltd. and Webber (1975)	540

ii)	Constitutionality	542
	MacDonald v Vapour Canada Ltd. (1976)	542
	Motel 6 Inc. v No. 6 Motel Ltd. (1981)	553
	Asbjorn Horgard A/S v Gibbs/Nortac (1987)	561
iii)	Expungements and amendments	574
iv)	Criminal Code Provisions	574
V	RIGHTS TO PERSONALITY/PUBLICITY: REAL, FICTIONAL AND SIMULATED PEOPLE (AND LIKE CREATURES)	576
A.	<u>Commercial Appropriation of Personality:</u>	576
	Section 9 of Trademark Act	576
	Nancy Sinatra v Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co. (1970)	576
	Krouse v Chrysler Canada Ltd. (1973)	579
	Athans v Canadian Adventure Camps Ltd. (1977)	588
	Heath v West - Barron School of Television Canada Ltd. (1981)	592
	Carson v Reynolds (1980)	594
B.	<u>Privacy Rights</u>	597
	Privacy Act R.S.B.C. 1979, c. 336	597
C.	<u>Publicity Rights</u>	599
	Carson v Here's Johnny Portable Toilets, Inc. (1983)	599
D.	<u>A Trademark's "Persona"?</u>	
E.	<u>Tort, Trademark or Copyright Principles?</u>	

Volume 3

VI	PATENTS	608
A.	<u>Introduction: History of Patents</u>	608
1.	Common Law - British United Shoe v Fussell (1908)	608
2.	Statutory History - evolution of the Canadian Patent System	611
3.	New developments - proposed amendments to the Canadian Patent Act..	612
4.	Selected Social History: Jeanne Thomas Allen "The Industrial Context of Film Technology: Standardization and Patents" In <u>The Cinematic Apparatus</u> Edited by Teresa De Lauretis and Stephen Heath (London: MacMillan, 1980)	614
5.	Procedure - How to obtain a Patent	620
	Typical Canadian Patent	623
B.	<u>Conditions For Patentability</u>	628
1.	Subject Matter:	628
i)	Unpatentable matter - methods, plans and business schemes - Coopers Application, Fishburn Application	630
ii)	Section 28(3)	631
a)	Illicit Object	631

b)	Abstract Principles or Laws of Nature	631
iii)	Computer Software and Patentability	632
	Diamond v. Diehr	632
	Schlumberger Canada Ltd. v. Comm. of Patents	634
	Millard, "Is Patent Protection available for Programs?" (1984) 1 Computer Law 62-64	635
iv)	Biotechnology: Patentability of Life Forms	638
a)	Cases	638
	Diamond v. Chakrabarty	638
	Abitibi Case	641
	Pioneer Hi-bred case	646
b)	Section 36 (1) Deposit Requirement	648
c)	Advisory Committee Presentation	649
d)	U.S. Position: Non naturally occurring non-human animals..	652
2.	The Quality of Invention	654
i)	Comment: Section 2 cases	654
ii)	Ingenuity or nonobviousness	655
	Lowe-Martin Co. Ltd. v. Office Speciality Mfg. Co. Ltd.	655
	Re: Application of Menendez	658
	Hercules Inc. v. Commissioner of Patents	658
	Hughes "Degree of Inventiveness required to support a Patent Application in Canada" (1987) 3(1) Can. Intel. Prop. R. 40-46	659
	Note: Inventive Ingenuity	662
iii)	Unsuspected Advantage or Accidental Discovery	663
	Smith v Goldie	663
iv)	How Trite: The Problem of 20/20 Hindsight	667
	Van der Lely v Banfords	667
	Aster-Hill Ltd. v Ayers Ltd.	667
	Woodrow v Long Humphreys	667
3.	Novelty	671
i)	Introduction: Section 28, 28.1 and 29	671
ii)	Discussion of Proposed Amendments	673
iii)	Anticipation	675
a)	Prior Application	675
b)	Prior Disclosure	675
I.	By publication	676
	Beloit Canada Ltd. v. Valmet Oy	676
	Cluett, Peabody v Dominion Textile	680
	Saunders v Airglide Deflectors	681
II.	By public use or sale	682
	Leithser v Pengo Hydra pull	682
III.	Exceptions: Experiment or amusement	683
	Canadian Patent Scaffolding v Delzotto Enterprises..	683
c)	Prior Knowledge	688
	Marzone Chemicals v. Eli-Lilly	689
	Proctor & Gamble v Bristol-Myers	689

4.	Utility	690
	Hammond, (1984) "Inutility Attacks on Canadian patents".....	690
	Excerpts from Patent Office Procedural Manual	696
C.	<u>Patent Infringement</u>	696
1.	Acts constituting infringement	696
	i) use or sale	696
	ii) importing or exporting	696
	iii) transporting	696
	iv) resale	696
	v) inducing infringement	697
	Slater Steel Industries v. R. Payer	697
	vi) Reconstruction v. Repair	709
	vii) Complications	710
	a) Doctrine of equivalency	710
	b) Infringement Prior to Issue	711
2.	Acts Not Constituting Infringement	712
	i) Use for Amusement	712
	ii) Use for Experiment	712
	iii) Use in Foreign Vessels or Aircraft	712
D.	<u>Licensing</u>	713
	1. General Considerations	713
VII	TRADE SECRETS AND CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION	714
A.	<u>Basis for protection: property or contract?</u>	714
	Triplex Safety Glass Co. v. Scolah	714
	Sprioll Corp. v. Putti et al	715
B.	<u>Can "information" be property?</u>	723
	Stewart v. Q.	723
C.	<u>Boing, boing: the springboard doctrine!</u>	737
	Saltman Engineering Case	738
	Ridgewood Resources Case	738
	Richardson Broadcasters v. Smith	743
D.	<u>Confidential information and trade secrets: fiduciary duty</u>	745
	Can Aero Services v. O'Malley et al	745
	Article: Corporate Opportunity and Confidential Information: Birds of a Feather that Flock Together or Canaeros of a Different Colour?	756
	International Corona v. LAC Minerals	760

E.	<u>Particular problems to be considered</u>	774
i)	Unsolicited disclosures from Outsiders	774
	W. F. Holte v. Kemp Mfg	774
	Hisel v. Chrysler	776
ii)	Rights in Employee Skills and Against Corporate Raiders	777
	B. F. Goodrich v. Wohlgemuth	777
	Capitol Records Case	779
iii)	Liability of Third Parties	781
	B.I.P. v. Ferguson	781
	Stevenson, Jordan & Harrison Case	782
	Printers & Finishers v. Holloway	783
	Int'l Tools v. Kollar et al	784
F.	<u>Proposed legislation</u>	788

